



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/415,295	10/08/1999	AMIR BEN-EFRAIM	M-7844US	4625

32794 7590 02/09/2004

KOESTNER BERTANI LLP
18662 MACARTHUR BLVD
SUITE 400
IRVINE, CA 92612

EXAMINER

DINH, KHANH Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2151

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

Office Action Summary

Applicati n N .

09/415,295

Applicant(s)

BEN-EFRAIM ET AL.

Examiner

Khanh Dinh

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on th cover sheet with the c rresp ndence address --
Period f r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 and 86-93 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 and 86-93 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the Response to the Office Action filed 11/28/2003 (paper # 9).

Claims 45-85 are cancelled. Claims 1-44 and 86-93 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-4, 9-13, 18-26, 33, 36 and 90-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hitchings Jr. (hereafter Hitchings), US pat. No.6,594,484.

As to claim 1, Hitchings discloses a mobile information network browser device (106 fig.1) with audio feedback capability, the information network comprising a plurality of network servers, the browser device comprising:

a wireless communication interface (using two-way wireless communication device 106 fig.1) operable to transmit data to a network server (network gateway server 114 fig.1) and to receive data from the network server (see abstract, fig.1, col.6 line 43 to col.7 line 45).

an audio interface (using 126 fig.1 to allow users of the wireless client device to retrieve and reply voice mail messages) operable to receive data from the wireless communication interface, wherein the data transmitted to the network server includes a request for information, and the data received from the network server includes information responsive to the request (see also fig.2A, col.7 line 5 to col.8 line 65 and col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67).

As to claims 2 and 3, Hitchings discloses a voice interaction system (using voice mail system 126 fig.1) to recognize commands from a user's speech input for interaction with the browser device including the request for information and a mobile audio device having an audio converter, the audio converter being operable to receive the information responsive to the request (i.e., using server module for converting from the first communications protocol to the second communications protocol and storing a list of scripts received from users to establish the communication between the proxy server device and the wireless communications devices), the audio converter being further operable to convert the responsive information to an audio signal for output to an audio output device (see col.2 lines 3-61 and col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67).

As to claim 4, Hitchings discloses at least one audio speaker (placing the mobile device to a voice mode, see col.14 lines 10-62).

As to claim 9-13, Hitchings discloses a data storage medium (254 fig.2B), a microphone (from 250 fig.2B) for receiving the speech input from the user, converting the responsive information from a text format to an audio format changing a plurality of predetermined formats into the voice transaction (using server module for converting from the first communications protocol to the second communications protocol), the first program instructions are loaded and executed in the network server and the audio interface (see figs.2A, 2B-4, col.2 lines 3-61 and col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67).

As to claims 18-22, Hitchings discloses allowing the user to enter personal information to customize interaction with the browser device (entering message requests from authorized users, see col.11 line 25 to col.12 line 49), an input buffer for storing the responsive information until the user commands the browser device to playback the responsive information and an input buffer for storing the responsive information until the audio converter processes it (see col.12 line 50 to col.13 line 67).

As to claim 23, Hitchings discloses a position-keeping system for providing the location of the mobile audio device to the network server via the wireless communication network, wherein the responsive information is based on the location of the mobile audio device (see col.9 lines 16-67 and col.14 line 21 to col.15 line 58).

As to claim 24, Hitchings discloses a portable browser system with feedback capability for browsing an information network comprising:

a wireless communication network (using two-way wireless communication device 106 fig.1) and at least one data processor (114 fig.1) in communication with the wireless communication network, the at least one data processor being operable to execute first program instructions for receiving a user's input (inputs from mobile device 106 fig.1), second program instructions for requesting information from the information network (see abstract, fig.1, col.6 line 43 to col.7 line 45).

third program instructions for receiving responsive information from the information network, and fourth program instructions for transmitting the responsive information received from the information network (see col.7 line 5 to col.8 line 65).

an audio output device (138 fig.1) operable to receive the responsive information from the data processor, the audio output device being further operable to output the responsive information to the user in audio format (i.e., using server module for converting from the first communications protocol to the second communications protocol and storing a list of scripts received from users to establish the communication between the proxy server device and the wireless communications devices, see also fig.2A, col.2 lines 3-61 and col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67).

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2 and 3 respectively.

Art Unit: 2155

As to claims 33 and 36, Hitchings discloses a telephone in communication with the voice interaction system for receiving the user's speech input and instructions are loaded and executed in the data processor (see figs.2A, 2B, col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67 and col.11 line 25 to col.12 line 49).

As to claim 90, Hitchings disclose a mobile information network browser device (106 fig.1) with audio feedback capability, the information network comprising a plurality of network servers, the browser device comprising:

- a communication interface (104 fig.1) operable to receive data from at least one of the network servers (114 fig.1) (see abstract, fig.1, col.6 line 43 to col.7 line 45).

- a mobile audio device (106 fig.1) operable to receive the data from the communication interface, the mobile audio device being further operable to convert the data to an audio signal to a telephone (i.e., using server module for converting from the first communications protocol to the second communications protocol and storing a list of scripts received from users to establish the communication between the proxy server device and the wireless communications devices, see also fig.2A, col.2 lines 3-61 and col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67).

As to claims 91-93, Hitchings discloses mobile audio device is controlled with voice commands, control switches and is operable to receive the data from a wireless communication network (see figs.2A, 2B, col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67 and col.11 line 25 to col.12 line 49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 5-8, 27-32, 34, 35, 37, 42-44 and 86-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchings Jr. (hereafter Hitchings), US pat. No.6,594,484.

4. As to claims 5-8, Hitchings does not specifically disclose a set of headphones, broadcasting the audio signal to a channel on a car radio, a car radio and a cassette adapter. However, an Official Notice is taken that the use of those devices is generally well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement those well known devices into the computer system of Hitchings to process data transaction over the network because it would have provide more utilizations of the computer system in the network and enabled users to communicate to each other faster.

Claims 27-31 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 4, 8, 9, 5 and 6 respectively.

Claims 32, 34, 35, 37, 42-44 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 10, 11, 12, 18, 23, 21 and 22 respectively.

As to claim 86, Hitchings disclose a mobile information network browser device with audio feedback capability, the information network comprising a plurality of network servers, the browser device comprising:

a communication interface (104 fig.1) receives data from at least one of the network servers (114 fig.1) (see abstract, fig.1, col.6 line 43 to col.7 line 45).

a mobile audio device (106fig.1) operable to receive the data from the communication interface, the mobile audio device being further operable to convert the data to an audio signal (i.e., using server module for converting from the first communications protocol to the second communications protocol and storing a list of scripts received from users to establish the communication between the proxy server device and the wireless communications devices, see also fig.2A, col.2 lines 3-61 and col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67).

Hitchings does not specifically disclose outputting data to a car radio. However, an Official Notice is taken that the uses of those devices are generally well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement those well known devices into the computer system of Hitchings to process data transaction over the network because it would have provide more utilizations of the computer system in the network.

As to claims 87-89, Hitchings discloses mobile audio device is controlled with voice commands, control switches and is operable to receive the data from a wireless communication network (see figs.2A, 2B, col.9 line 15 to col.10 line 67 and col.11 line 25 to col.12 line 49).

5. Claims 14-17 and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchings Jr. (hereafter Hitchings), US pat. No.6,594,484 in view of Logan et al., US pat. No.5,732,216.

As to claims 14-17, Hitchings does not specifically disclose using encrypting/ decrypting data information, and compressing/de compressing data information. However, those teachings are generally well known in the art as disclosed by Logan (see fig.1, col.3 line 23 to col.4 line 37, col.5 line 7 to col.6 line 35 and col.10 line 39 to col.11 line 67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Logan's teachings into the computer system of Hitchings to process data information because it would have enabled users to distribute, collect and exchange information in the forms of data recordings in the computer network (see Logan's col.1 lines 29-65 and col.3 lines 23-54).

Claims 38-41 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 14-17 respectively.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44 and 86-93 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-44 and 86-93 are rejected.
8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U. S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.


FRANTZ B. JEAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Khanh Dinh
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2151
2/5/2004